

DEADWOOD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Wednesday, July 14, 2010 ~ 5:00 p.m.

City Hall, 108 Sherman Street, Deadwood, South Dakota

1. Call meeting to order– Chair Darin Derosier
2. Approval of Minutes
3. Voucher Approval
4. Old or General Business
 - a. Rescind action taken at 874 Main Street – Dale Berg – Project Approval
 - b. Case# 10026 – 350 Williams Street – Garage – James Fletcher
 - c. Case# 10033 – 66 Lincoln Avenue – Garage Addition – Stephen Laffey
5. New Matters before the Deadwood Historic District Commission
 - a. Case# 10035 – 30 Pine Street – New Construction – Tentexkota, LLC
6. New Matters before the Deadwood Historic Preservation Commission
 - a. Case# 10034 – 890 Main Street – Windows – Douglas J Jacobson
 - b. Approval of 2011 Historic Preservation Budget – Kevin Kuchenbecker
 - c. Harnett Stone Proposed Work – Mike Runge
7. Revolving Loan Fund/Retaining Wall Program Update.
 - a. Retaining Wall Applications
 - I. 80 Williams Street – Albertson Engineering, Inc.
 - b. Revolving loan Program/Disbursements
 - c. Retaining Wall Program/Disbursements
8. Items from Citizens not on agenda (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*)
9. Committee Actions and Reports (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*)
10. Staff Report (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*)
11. Adjournment

EXECUTIVE SESSION – LEGAL MATTERS

CITY OF DEADWOOD
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Wednesday, July 14, 2010

Present Historic Preservation Commission: Darin Derosier, Ronda Feterl, Mary Ann Oberlander, Mike Olsen, Steve Olson, Matt Pike and Willie Steinlicht. Historic Preservation Officer Kevin Kuchenbecker was also present.

Absent Historic Preservation Commission: None.

Present Deadwood City Commission: Mayor Francis Toscana and Commissioners Georgeann Silvernail and Lenny Schroeder.

All motions passed unanimously unless otherwise stated.

A quorum being present, Chairman Darin Derosier called the Deadwood Historic Preservation Commission meeting to order on Wednesday, July 14, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. in Deadwood City Hall located at 108 Sherman Street, Deadwood, SD.

Mr. Pike thanked Mr. Kuchenbecker for his hard work on the difficult Staff Reports, in particular the Tentekota Staff Report. He stated that he did not mind that he had taken extra time in writing the difficult report. The Commission agreed and thanked Mr. Kuchenbecker. Mr. Kuchenbecker noted that he would be on vacation July 19-23, 2010 and stated that Ms. Virginia Peterson would be sending the agenda, minutes and application via e-mail. The packets would be delivered on the following Monday or Tuesday prior to the meeting.

Review Minutes

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. Steinlicht to approve the minutes of June 23, 2010 with the corrections. Mr. Pike noted the two corrections were on page 14 and 15.

Aye – All. Motion carried.

Voucher Approval

Operating Account:

Mr. Kuchenbecker noted that a request for the Days of '76 rodeo funding for \$45,000 was received after the reports were copied. Therefore the operating account was changed from \$66,915.50 to \$111,915.50.

It was moved by Mr. S. Olson and seconded by Mr. M. Olsen to approve the HP Operating Account in the amount of \$111,915.50. Aye – All. Motion carried.

Bonded Account:

None

OLD OR GENERAL BUSINESS

Rescind action taken at 874 Main Street – Dale Berg – Project Approval

Mr. Deroiser explained that the action taken at the July 7, 2010 on 874 Main Street must be rescinded because it was from Citizens not on the Agenda; therefore formal action could not be taken. Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that Mr. Berg requested a change to his Project Approval of using asphalt instead of pavers.

Mr. Kuchenbecker noted that the agenda has been changed to the following headings: Items from Citizens not on agenda (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*); Committee Actions and Reports (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*); and Staff Report (*Items will be considered but no action will be taken at this time.*).

Mr. M. Olsen questioned if the Commission could rescind the action taken. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that the Commission would need to rescind the action and the item would be placed on the next meeting's agenda.

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. Pike to rescind the action taken for 874 Main Street on June 30, 2010. Aye – All. Motion carried

Case# 10026 – 350 Williams Street – Garage – James Fletcher

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that Mr. Fletcher could not attend the meeting and requested that the item be continued until July 28, 2010.

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Ms. Feterl to continue Case #10026 for 350 Williams Street to July 28, 2010. Aye – All. Motion carried.

Case# 10033 – 66 Lincoln Avenue – Garage Addition – Stephen Laffey

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that Mr. Stephen Laffey was present. Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that he felt that the project does not damage or destroy any historic resource however a question remained if the project was encroaching. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that the commission had requested additional photos to review the project, which he provided. Mr. M. Olsen stated that he had no heartburn over the project and was satisfied that the garage would sit back far enough. The Commission agreed. Mr. Laffey stated that it would be built into the hillside and would be thirty to forty feet from the street. *It was moved by Mr. S. Olson and seconded by Mr. M. Olsen that based upon all of the evidence the finding is that this project does not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource nor does it have an adverse affect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Districts, or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District; and therefore, moved to grant a project approval for Steven Laffey at 66 Lincoln Avenue. Aye – All. Motion carried.*

Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that Case# 10035 should be under New Matters before the Deadwood Historic Commission, item 5.A. *It was moved by Mr. Pike and seconded by Mr. M. Olsen to amend the agenda and move Case #10035 to item 5.A. on the agenda. Aye – All. Motion carried.*

NEW MATTERS BEFORE THE DEADWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

Case# 10035 – 30 Pine Street – New Construction – Tentexkota, LLC

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that Mr. Cam Lund, Lund Associates would present a brief presentation and then Mr. Kuchenbecker would review his Staff Report.

Mr. Lund explained that most of the presentation was the same as presented on June 23, 2010. He explained that the proposed roof material had changed to corten steel instead of the shingles and the windows trim would be a darker red. Mr. Lund said that they tried to address the large massing by creating two subterranean levels to minimize the overall mass and keep the ninety-seven rooms. The pool extends to the north and the hotel now steps to the south because some of the functions had been moved to the subterranean levels. Mr. Lund explained that a portion of the roof had been changed to a flat roof to give more dimension.

Mr. Lund said that Mr. Kuchenbecker had mentioned the idea of taking a floor off of the design, in which they would lose twenty-four rooms. Mr. Lund stated to remain at the same number of rooms they would have to extend to the north over the pool creating a height of over ninety feet. He explained that from a design standpoint the step up design was better and the other designs had complications with the site.

Mr. Lund stated that the design is actually lower than what was there historically. Mr. Lund explained the view from the Mt. Moriah Cemetery using a 3-D animation of the project. Mr. Lund explained the construction material list and provided samples for the Commission.

Mr. Kuchenbecker thanked the owners and the design team for working with the office and the State Historic Preservation Office to come up with the current design. He stated that they had worked really hard to minimize any damage, destruction or encroachment to the historic properties surrounding the area such as the VFW, Courthouse and City Hall.

Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that using the adopted guidelines the design does come close; unfortunately the project does encroach upon the historic properties. He stated that he is excited about the adaptive reuse of the Slime Plant however the project's massing size and scale dominate the surrounding historic landscape. Mr. Kuchenbecker said that the proposed building would hide the visual scars that are on the hillside but it would dominate the Slime Plant.

Mr. Kuchenbecker referred to Mr. Jason Haug's, State Preservation Office, report regarding the Slime Plant project. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that historically buildings stepped up the hillside. The proposed addition rises straight up from the rear rather than the traditional stepping up the hillside. He noted that the height is within the Zoning Code. He noted that staff has weekly construction meeting with the design team and contractor and have discussed landscaping and ground cover.

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that according to number nine of the Secretary of Interior's Standards the proposed addition alters the spatial relationship of the property and therefore, causes the encroachment.

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it has been a pleasure working with the design team. He noted that the owners' are working with the State because of the tax moratorium and the State will review interior design as well as exterior. Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that in August 2007, the Historic Preservation

Commission approved the project contingent on “Part II Certification of the Rehabilitation Tax Credit,” application from the National Park Service. The application would ensure the project would meet the Secretary of Interior Standards of Rehabilitation. He stated that to his knowledge the owners’ were working through the Certification Approval process with the National Park Service. Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that the ownership is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed four-story addition which is not apart of the “Part II Certification of the Rehabilitation Tax Credit”. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that because he has determined that the project has an adverse effect, it may be determined that it would be in violation of the façade easement agreement. He explained that the owners’ could lose the tax moratorium and they are aware of the fact.

Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that it was his conclusion that the project dominates the surrounding historic landscape and that the spatial relationship would be drastically altered by the overall visual appearance of the proposed addition above the Slime Plant. He stated that by removing one-story it would appear to remove the encroachment but it is unclear as to the economic hardship it may lead to by losing twenty-five hotel rooms.

Mr. Lund explained that in historic photos there is an interim building that is missing in the current design therefore the design lacks the continued roofline look. Mr. M. Olsen stated that the building is missing the illusion of being stacked up the hillside. Mr. Lund stated that the roofline lines up but the building is missing. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that the spatial relationship has caused the encroachment. He explained that area has many constraints and it is difficult to design something that will work. He stated that the design team has been very willing to work on a design that would fit.

Mr. Deroiser asked if they took a floor off the design, would that guarantee it would not have an adverse effect. Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that it would remove some domination and the spatial relationship would improve. Mr. Lund stated that if they remove the top floor they, the design option would be to extend the floors over the pool area to keep the number of rooms at ninety-seven. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it would create a greater encroachment with the greater visual of ninety feet in height. Ms. Oberlander questioned if there was a compromise. Mr. Lund stated that the design becomes more difficult with egresses.

Ms. Oberlander questioned if losing twenty-four rooms was worth losing the tax credits and façade easement and stated that the owners’ must weigh their options. Mr. Lund stated that he feels the best solution is to keep the ninety-seven rooms.

Mr. Deroiser questioned if the owners would come forth with a plan that stated economically that they need ninety-seven rooms; if it would be easier for them to keep the tax moratorium and façade easement. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it would not remove his determination of the adverse effect. He further explained that the Commission could find that it does have an adverse effect; however, all reasonable and prudent alternatives have been explored and move approval due to economic hardship.

Mr. Toscana stated that the owners are probably going to lose the tax moratorium if they build the four-story building. Mr. Toscana questioned if the façade easement was tied to the entire project or just the Slime Plant building. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated he was unsure. Mr. Toscana stated that one could argue that it was tied only to the Slime Plant. Mr. M. Olsen stated that the building was tied to the whole project. Mr. Toscana stated that the State cannot stop the project; however, the owners’ would have to

decided if they could move forth without the tax moratorium. Mr. Toscana also noted that the owners' would have to reimburse the City of Deadwood \$1.7 million for the façade.

Mr. Pike stated that he would like to have, as apart of the record, proof of economic hardship of losing twenty-four rooms versus losing the tax credit. Mr. Pike stated that it would help in understanding and making a decision on the project.

Mr. Lund stated that the ownership is aware of the potential of losing the tax moratorium and the façade easement. Mr. Pike stated that he would like to see a three-story design. Ms. Oberlander stated she would like to see the three-story design without adding the room elsewhere and stated that the economics are not Historic Preservation Commission's (HPC) decision. Mr. Deroiser disagreed and stated that by allowing them only seventy-four rooms the project dies, HPC must take into consideration economics. Ms. Oberlander stated that HPC needs all the information before making a decision. Mr. S. Olson stated that HPC does not need dollar number but does need justification of having the number of rooms. Mr. Deroiser disagreed and stated that HPC must consider the potential of what the twenty-four room would bring in over the course of a ten year period; it could ultimately make or break the project. Mr. Deroiser stated that he was personally ready to make a decision and if they lost the façade easement and tax moratorium so be it; however, the decision would be made easier if he had economic hardship documentation. Mr. Pike stated he would like to see the impact of both losing the tax moratorium versus making the hotel a three-story structure and make it part of the record.

Mr. Toscana questioned Mr. Lund how much they would save by constructing a three-story hotel. Mr. Lund stated that it could be around ten percent. Mr. Steinlicht suggested continuing the application until the next meeting. The Commission agreed.

Mr. Lund stated that losing the tax moratorium might not affect the owners' significantly because there is a question on whether they will receive it. Mr. Lund explained that if they did not receive it they could recoup money with design changes. Mr. Lund was in agreement with the continuation. Mr. Deroiser stated that he would like some of the owners present.

Mr. M. Olsen stated if they approve the project with an adverse effect, would the construction place Deadwood on the threatened list and how would it affect the landmark district. Mr. Deroiser stated that it was something to consider.

It was moved by Mr. S. Olson and seconded by Mr. Pike to table Case #10035.

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated he would work with the applicants and legal council regarding the economics and design. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that he would like to get the opinion of the State regarding the three-story design. Mr. M. Olsen asked if a step up design had been considered. Mr. Lund stated that he had designed a step up design in 2003 which looked too modern for the area however, he could bring it.

Aye – All. Motion carried. (The staff report is attached hereto on Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference.)

NEW MATTERS BEFORE THE DEADWOOD HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Case# 10034 – 890 Main Street – Windows – Douglas J Jacobson

Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that applicant has submitted an application for work at 890 Main Street, a contributing structure located in the Upper Main Street Planning Unit of the City of Deadwood. He explained that the applicant has requested permission to install seven missing storm windows at 890 Main Street. The windows will be Marvin Combination and will be primed and painted to match the existing grey trim. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it was staff's opinion that the proposed work and changes DO NOT encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource nor do they have an adverse affect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Historic Register Districts, or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District. *It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Ms. Feterl that based upon all of the evidence the finding is that this project does not encroach upon, damage, or destroy a historic resource nor does it have an adverse affect on the character of the building or the historic character of the State and National Register Districts, or the Deadwood National Historic Landmark District; and therefore, moved to grant a project approval. Aye – All. Motion carried.* (The staff report is attached hereto on Exhibit B and incorporated herein by this reference.)

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. S. Olson to place Douglas J. Jacobson at 890 Main Street into the Special Needs Wood Window Program. Aye – All. Motion carried.

Approval of 2011 Historic Preservation Budget – Kevin Kuchenbecker

Mr. Kuchenbecker requested permission to move forth with a recommendation to the City Commission for the 2011 Historic Preservation Commission Budget. He noted the requested budget of \$6,900,000.00 and after City Commission approval; it would be submitted to the State. *It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. Pike to recommend to the City Commission approval of the 2011 Historic Preservation Commission Budget. Aye – All. Motion carried.*

Ms. Oberlander recused herself from the Harnett Stone Proposal.

Harnett Stone Proposed Work – Mike Runge

Mr. Kuchenbecker explained that the Daniel Harnett monument was removed from Mt. Moriah because of the spalling of the stone. It was place in approximately 1878. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that a conservation proposal had been received from Deadwood Granite and Marble Works. The proposal would include cleaning, stabilization and installation with an estimated cost of \$3,000-\$5,000 or \$50.00 per hour.

Ms. Feterl asked why Historic Preservation was pursuing this. Mr. M. Olsen explained that the stone was removed because of the deterioration. Ms. Feterl questioned if there was any family that could pay for the project. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that no family could be located. Mr. Pike noted that the stone was one of the oldest in the cemetery. Mr. M. Olsen explained that after much discussion in the Cemetery Committee, the best solution was to stabilize and return the stone to its original location in the cemetery. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it was historically significant because it was one of the oldest stones, made from native Black Hills materials and hand carved.

Mr. M. Olsen stated that it was discussed in the Cemetery Committee about placing the stone in the Visitor Center; however, it was decided that there was not a good reason for it to be placed there. Mr. Pike stated that the issue was the stabilization of the stone and that prior to the stone; wooden markers were placed in the cemetery. He felt it was significant and should be placed back into the cemetery.

Ms. Feterl questioned where the funding would come from. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it could come from the Cemetery Grant fund, Public Education/Advocacy or possibly the perpetual cemetery funds. Mr. Toscana stated that there are rules and regulations of how perpetual cemetery funds are spent and Ms. Mary Jo Nelson in the Finance office would be the person to contact. Mr. Kuchenbecker noted that this would be a recommendation to the City Commission. Mr. M. Olsen stated that there was money in the Cemetery Headstone Grant fund.

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. S. Olson to recommend to the City Commission to spend \$3,000.00 to \$5,000.00 and to refurbish the Harnett Stone and reinstall it in its original location. Aye – All. Motion carried.

Ms. Oberlander returned to the meeting.

REVOLVING LOAN FUND/RETAINING WALL PROGRAM UPDATE:

Retaining Wall Application – 80 Williams Street

Mr. Kuchenbecker noted the May 17, 2010 Retaining Wall Engineering Report the Commission requested for Mr. David Thompson at 80 Williams Street. Mr. Kuchenbecker said that he had not yet spoken with Mr. Thompson. No action needed to be taken at this time.

Revolving Loan Fund – Cash Disbursements

It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. Steinlicht to approve the Revolving Loan Fund disbursements in the amount of \$19,829.40. Aye – All. Motion carried.

Financial and Delinquency Report

Ms. McCracken reviewed the delinquency report. She noted that Blake Haverberg had made June's payment. She stated Hickok's was pursuing the conservation easement and noted the appraiser had conducted the appraisal and would return in a few weeks with the results.

She noted that Ms. Betty Trevino would be coming in to sign documents.

Ms. McCracken stated that communication with Mr. Roy Sundstrom had stopped and that she would be sending a registered letter and noted that HPC is in first position of the loan.

Ms. McCracken reviewed the Financial Report.

Loan Committee Report

Revolving Loan Fund – Robin Gorder – 3 Rodenhaus

Ms. McCracken stated that Robin Gorder has requested a Special Needs-Siding Program loan for \$10,000.00 at 0% and no payments required for 10 years. She explained that HPC would be in second

position for the loan. She stated that she has good credit and that her ratios are a bit high however, they did not factor in her significant other's income.

Ms. Oberlander questioned that according to the report HPC would be in third position. Ms. McCracken explained that her first mortgage is with First Interstate and also that she has a previous loan with HPC in the amount of \$6,461.00 thus making HPC in third position. *It was moved by Mr. M. Olsen and seconded by Mr. Pike to approve the Special Needs-Siding loan in the amount of \$10,000.00 at 0% interest to Robin Gorder at 3 Rodenhaus. Aye- All. Motion carried.*

Revolving Loan Fund – Northern Hills Railway Society

Ms. McCracken stated that the Northern Hills Railway Society borrowed \$5,000.00 for the preservation of their railroad located in the basement of the Lucky Nugget Casino. The Lucky Nugget has been closed for several months and has denied access to the public display; therefore, shutting off their income source. Ms. McCracken stated that they have requested the balance of the loan, \$562.03 to be forgiven or a moratorium on the payments until their income resource has been restored. Ms. McCracken stated that the Loan Committee had reviewed favorably on the moratorium.

Mr. Steinlicht stated that according to the letter they were not able to access the railway but wondered if they could get law enforcement involved to get it out of the location. Ms. McCracken stated that she did not think that was their intention to move the display from the permanent location. Mr. Deroiser stated that the display would be destroyed if it was moved. Mr. Steinlicht disagreed and recommended that it be forgiven. Ms. McCracken explained that comments received from the Loan Committee were that no principal debt had been forgiven before and they did not want to set precedence.

Mr. Pike stated that he would like to set a date on the moratorium and if they needed more time they could request an extension. Ms. McCracken agreed. *It was moved by Mr. Pike and seconded by Mr. M. Olsen to grant for Northern Hills Railway Society a twelve month moratorium on the loan amount of \$562.03. Aye – Derosier, Feterl, Oberlander, M. Olsen, S. Olson, & Pike. Ney – Steinlicht. Motion carried.*

ITEMS FROM CITIZENS NOT ON AGENDA:

None.

Committee Actions and Reports:

Grants, Recognition & Advocacy: Commission representatives: Ronda Feterl, Matt Pike and Mary Ann Oberlander.

No report.

Archaeology, Archives & Acquisitions: Commission representatives: Mike Olsen, Willie Steinlicht and Steve Olson.

No report.

Budget: Commission representatives: Ronda Feterl, Darin Derosier Matt Pike.

No report.

Cemetery/GIS: Commission representatives: Steve Olson, Mary Ann Oberlander and Mike Olsen.

Mr. S. Olson reported that he along with Mr. Kuchenbecker had met with Mr. Terry Gray and a representative of SARC on the re-internment of the human remains found in the Presidential area. The re-internment will take place during the Days of '76 and the City will act as a facilitator between the Native American and Chinese on how the re-interment will take place. Mr. Kuchenbecker added that it could possibly take place on Wednesday July 28, 2010 and that many people, including high priests and spiritual leaders are expected to attend as well as some filmmakers.

Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that he would be asking the City Commission for permission to re-intern the remains at Mt. Moriah close to the Bullock grave. Mr. Pike question how much it would cost the City to be the facilitator. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that it would be around for \$600.00 the spiritual leader and some supplies such as tobacco and red felt. Ms. Feterl questioned why they do not provide the items. Mr. S. Olson stated that normally the items are provided by the family. Mr. Kuchenbecker stated that the total amount would be around \$1,500.00.

Demolition by Neglect: Commission representatives: Mike Olsen, Steve Olson and Matt Pike.

No report.

Loans: Commission representatives: Ronda Feterl, Willie Steinlicht and Darin Derosier.

No report.

Policies & Procedures: Commission representatives: Entire HPC.

No report.

Adams Museum: Commissioner Mary Ann Oberlander.

No report.

Chamber of Commerce: Commissioners Darin Derosier and Willie Steinlicht.

No report

Days of '76: Commissioner Mike Olsen.

Mr. Mike Olsen reported that the City Commission voted to reject all bids for the Days of '76 Museum and to rebid the project. He explained that the Days of '76 have a \$250,000.00 grant from the Federal Stimulus Package which is being administered by the South Dakota Department of Transportation. A provision of the grant is that the bidder must contact Disadvantaged Business Enterprises on the State list; the two low bidders did not comply with the stipulation. The bid opening will be August 16, 2010.

Neighborhood Housing Services: Commissioner Willie Steinlicht.

No report.

Planning and Zoning: Commissioner Mike Olsen.

No report

Historic Preservation Staff: Kevin Kuchenbecker, HP Officer; Michael Runge, Archivist; Deanna Berglund, Administrative Assistant; Virginia Peterson, Administrative Assistant.

Mr. Kuchenbecker reported on the following:

The Commission was provided a copy of the Fassbender Photographic Collection proposal. The proposal would be presented to the City Commission, as well as other communities in the Northern Black Hills.

The “Show Me the Money” workshop would be on Thursday July 22, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at City Hall.

OTHER BUSINESS

None.

ADJOURNMENT:

Hearing no further business to come before the Commission at this time and no objections from the Commission or the audience, Chairman Derosier adjourned the meeting at 6:30 p.m. to executive session with no action to be taken.

ATTEST:

Darin Derosier
Chairman, Historic Preservation Commission
Deanna Berglund, Acting Recording Secretary